



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

children not vaccinated from the public schools, is enacted within the reasonable exercise of the police power of the State, and that it is not a violation of that provision of the state constitution which provides for free common schools wherein all children of the state may be educated, nor is it a violation of that provision of the state constitution which guarantees to every citizen the protection of his rights, privileges, and liberty.

CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES.—A very curious claim is advanced by plaintiff in the case of *Coppola v. Kraushaar*, 92 N. Y. Sup. 436. Plaintiff alleged that on a certain date he ordered of defendant two gowns for his betrothed, stating to defendant at the time that he was to wed on a certain date, was incurring great expense in arranging a suitable celebration for that occasion, and that the gowns must be finished on the day preceding the wedding. It was asserted that plaintiff and his betrothed demanded the gowns on this date, and that they were not finished, in consequence of which the wedding was "broken off." Plaintiff sought to recover for the money expended by him in buying presents, wines, clothes, etc., in anticipation of his wedding. The court suggests that in view of the damages one might be tempted to conjecture that the pleader had lost sight of the distinction between breach of contract and breach of promise of marriage, and holds that the damages are too remote; saying that, while such a disappointment would naturally be keen to any prospective bride, it could hardly be contemplated, in the absence of specific warning, that she would refuse ever to wed if the two dresses were not forthcoming before the day set for the ceremony.

AUTOMATIC COUPLERS.—In *Johnson v. Southern Pacific Ry. Co.*, 25 Sup. Ct. 159, the opinion of the majority of the court in the Circuit Court of Appeals, as found in 117 Federal Reporter, 462, is reversed upon the three points considered. The Supreme Court holds that locomotives are embraced by the words "any car," as used in the act providing that automatic couplers must be used upon cars engaged in interstate commerce. It is also held that the law is not complied with where a locomotive and a dining car are both equipped with automatic couplers which are of such different types as will prevent them from coupling with each other automatically. It is also held that where a dining car is brought from one state to a certain point in another, and is there sidetracked and attached to a train returning to the point from which the car started, the car is engaged in interstate commerce while the sidetracking process is being accomplished. The plaintiff was injured in attempting to couple an engine to the dining car while an effort was being made to place the latter upon a sidetrack, where it was to be taken up by a returning train.

MARRIAGE BY ESTOPPEL.—That one may be estopped to question the validity of a marriage which, when celebrated, was entirely void, is maintained in *Chamberlain v. Chamberlain*, 59 Atl. 813. The suit was for divorce, and it appeared that complainant (who was the wife) and